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How? Using boundary integral methods.
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\begin{aligned}
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## Subtraction technique for Helmholtz

Test using Periodic Trapezoid Rule (PTR) with $\mathrm{N}=256$ for $u(x):=\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) \quad k=15$



## Subtraction technique for Helmholtz

Test using Periodic Trapezoid Rule (PTR) with $\mathrm{N}=128$ for $u(x):=\frac{i}{4} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) \quad k=5$
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## Perspectives:

Stokes flow (3D)
Scattering problem in plasmonics (transmission problem)
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